
# Preparation of Teachers in French (FA)

At Northern Michigan University

February 2007/Amended July 2007



In response to Standards for the Preparation of Teachers of World Language, Bilingual Education, and English as a Second Language Adopted by the Michigan State Board of Education July 13, 2004

# Preface about the Preparation of Teachers of French at Northern Michigan University, particularly in light of the "Requirements for the French (FA) endorsement options"

Requirements for the French (FA) endorsement options:

- Elementary or secondary major of at least 30 semester hours
- Elementary or secondary minor of at least 20 semester hours
- K-12 major (additional standards are required for K-12 programs)
- Additional endorsement of at least 20 semester hours or 30 hours for K-12 endorsement

**Prerequisite:** To meet the standards, all required content coursework must be beyond the first four semesters of language instruction in commonly taught languages (inclusive of Categories I and II of the Foreign Service Institute (FSI) scale).

Northern Michigan University offers three paths to certification of French teaching: The secondary major, the secondary minor, and elementary minor.

A proposal is making its way through committees at NMU so that for all three paths, required coursework begins beyond the first four semesters of language instruction. If the proposals are not approved, French Education at NMU will need to be suspended.

Both minors require 20 semester hours of coursework in French, plus four hours of a language methods course, plus an introductory course in linguistics.

The major requires 32 semester hours of coursework in French, plus the introductory linguistics course, as well as four hours of a language methods course.

The major and the minors require the following courses:

FR 300 Reading and Writing

FR 305 Conversational French

FR 310 Introduction to French Civilization and Culture

FR 400 Advanced Grammar and Composition

In addition, the French minor requires 4 credits beyond these basic courses, and the major requires 16 credits beyond them.

#### **Content/Guidelines Standards Matrix**

College/University	Northern Mich	igan University	Code	FA
<b>Source of Guideline</b>	s/Standards	Michigan State Board of Education, July 13, 2004	Program/Subject Area	French

Requirements for French (FA) endorsement options:

- Elementary or secondary major of at least 30 semester hours
- Elementary or secondary minor of at least 20 semester hours
- K-12 major (additional standards are required for K-12 programs)
- Additional endorsement of at least 20 semester hours or 30 hours for K-12 endorsement

**Prerequisite:** To meet the standards, all required content coursework must be beyond the first four semesters of language instruction in commonly taught languages (inclusive of Categories I and II of the Foreign Service Institute (FSI) scale).

The Levels of Proficiency are:

A = Awareness: Possesses general knowledge of

B = Basic: Ability to understand and apply

C = Comprehensive: High level of understanding, applying, and reflecting

\* = These standards do not have levels of proficiency. Programs either meet, or do not meet, these standards.

Directions: List required courses on matrix and provide additional narrative to explain how standards are met. If electives are included, they should be clearly indicated. Adjust size of cells as needed.

No.	Guideline/Standard	*Level of Proficiency		ired Courses and/or Experiences Standards
1.0	Language, Linguistics, Comparisons Teacher preparation institutions provid opportunities to develop and demonstra			
1.1	At least an advanced low level of speaking, listening, reading, and writing proficiency in the target language using a variety of testing protocols, including assessment of interpersonal, interpretive, and presentational communication.	*	Major: NMU does not offer an Elementary Education Major in French. (NA=Not applicable)	Minor: See Below.
			Major: See Below.	Minor: See Below.

No.	Guideline/Standard	*Level of	Narrative Explaining how Required Courses and/or Experiences
		Proficiency	Fulfill the Standards

#### **Narrative for Standard 1.1:**

Students develop mastery of all four skills in FR 300 (Reading), 305 (Conversation), and 400 (Grammar and Composition). In FR 310 (Culture of France) they develop their reading and writing skills.

In FR 300, the focus is on reading and writing. Students read a variety of texts ranging from comics, to newspaper and magazine articles to fiction and poetry. They write responses to their readings and also discuss them in class. Students are assessed for their interpretive skills in reading and their presentational skills in writing. Their grades are based on their proficiency in these two skills. Students' interpersonal skills are not assessed in FR 300, but they practice in this area through classroom discussion.

In FR 305, the focus is on speaking and listening skills. Students learn and practice techniques for starting and maintaining conversations in French. Sometimes they watch videos in French which they must interpret and use as springboards for conversation. Students also make presentations to the class. Students are assessed in their interpersonal communication skills both by assessing proficiency levels during conversations between students and in oral proficiency interviews with the instructor. (Note: The instructor has received the full ACTFL oral proficiency interview training.) Student interpretive skills in listening are assessed to a limited degree. Student presentational skills in writing are also assessed to a limited degree. Student oral presentational skills are assessed and constitute a limited part of the course grade.

In FR 400, the focus is on writing. Students write papers relating to their own lives as well as to cultural topics or items of current events in the target culture at a variety of levels. Students sometimes discuss topics and issues with each other prior to writing their papers and sometimes such discussion follows the writing. Student presentational proficiency in writing is the primary assessment in this course.

In FR 310, French education students read a variety of texts in French and respond in writing (in French). Assessment is performed, therefore on their interpretive skills in reading and their presentational skills in writing.

In FR 361, 362, 363, 426, 428, and 495, students read texts from French and Francophone literature, interpret them, and respond to them in classroom discussion and in written assignments. They also give presentations on texts or topics related to texts. Assessment is performed on the presentational mode in both speaking and writing and on the interpretive mode in reading.

In addition to the course-based assessments detailed above, students are assessed before they can enter the methods course (LG 350). They must have Intermediate High proficiency in all four skills before matriculation. (Students not at that level must improve their proficiency before submitting to another assessment to try to gain entrance to the course.) Once they are in the course, students submit a language portfolio which the French faculty assess. Before they can student teach, candidates must prove at least Advanced Low proficiency in all four skills. (The oral proficiency interview must be an official ACTFL administered interview.) If candidates do not measure up to Advanced Low in any area, they must improve their skills and prove the Advanced Low proficiency before being able to student teach.)

1.2	Knowledge of the linguistic elements of	С	Eleme	entary
	English and its varieties.		Major: NA	Minor: See Below.
			Secon	ndary
			Major: See Below.	Minor: See Below.
			K-	12
			Major: NA	

#### **Narrative for Standard 1.2:**

In FR 101, 102, 201 and 202, students are exposed in a significant way to language that forces them to consider the linguistic elements of English and its varieties. (The English department at Northern Michigan University, for example, has the following provision in its Secondary Education English major: "EN 200 Basic English Grammar and Usage for Teachers is not required for any student who successfully completes a 100 or 200 level language course.")

In FR 300, 305, 361, 362, 363, 426, 428 and 495 students assuredly develop their knowledge of the linguistic elements of English as they inevitably process and contrast French and English. Nonetheless, no overt class instruction is planned in these courses to this aim. In FR 400 (Grammar and Composition) a fair amount of instruction is dedicated to contrasting French and English, thus improving student knowledge in this area.

Despite the gains students make in this area through the classes noted, the Department of Modern Languages and Literatures at Northern Michigan University has felt a need to create a course overtly and comprehensively addressing the basic linguistic elements of English and all the languages we teach in our Language Teacher preparation program. We hired a professor in linguistics (Amy Orf) and tasked her to develop a course to address Michigan and NCATE standards in linguistics for Language Teacher preparation programs. The result is a proposal to create LG 340 (Introduction to Linguistics) and require it for Language Education majors and minors, which has been approved by the Modern Languages and Literatures curriculum committee and the Department as a whole. The proposal has been submitted to the NMU Professional Education Committee to be taken up at their first meeting of the 2007-2008 year. From there it will go forward to the NMU campus-wide curriculum committee and then to the Academic Senate. We expect the entire process will be complete by December and that the proposal will become a requirement by Fall 2008.

Regarding mastery of English, admission requirements to any teacher education program at NMU require:

- 1) for freshmen, an ACT composite score or 20 or an SAT score of verbal 450,
- 2) for all others, an ACT subscore of 21 in English and reading, or an SAT score of verbal 450, or a PPST score of reading 174 and writing 173 (or if the computer-based PPST is taken, reading 321 and writing 319).

Additionally, candidates most complete EN 111 College Composition I and EN 211 College Composition II with a grade of "C" or better. 3) a passing score on the MTTC basic skills test.

1.3	An understanding of the dynamic nature	В		Eleme	entary
	of language systems and demonstrate		Major: NA		Minor: See Below.
	competency in helping students acquire				
	and use English and the target language				
	in listening, speaking, reading, and				
	writing for social and academic				
	purposes.				_
				Secon	dary
			Major: See Below.		Minor: See Below.
				K-	12
			Major: NA		

#### **Narrative for Standard 1.3:**

Students use French for academic purposes in every course they take toward the French major or minor. In FR 310 and 438 they read and write French, and in FR 300, 305, 361, 362, 363, 400, 426, 428, and 495 they listen, speak, read and write in French. FR 305 emphasizes social uses of listening and speaking in the interpersonal mode, and a small portion of FR 400 deals with social use of written French for writing. Students can also participate in the French Conversation table whether they are in any particular class or not, thus furthering their interpersonal skills. Students who take FR 438 have abundant opportunities in French-speaking Europe to further their interpersonal skills, as do our other students who study abroad. These opportunities in and out of class provide students with an experience of the dynamic nature of language. They are exposed to issues such as style, variations between spoken and written French, and regional dialects.

Despite all of the foregoing, our proposed LG 340 course addresses the issues of the dynamic nature of language systems in an overt, systematic way. Students will see how language develops over time and how regional differences come about. Examples will be given in English and French, and students will need to cite both English and French.

In the methods course (LG 350) students demonstrate a high level of competence in teaching languages by presenting their microlessons in the target language. As our candidates student teach, their competence is demonstrated and scrutinized.

1.4	Knowledge of the similarities and	В	Eleme	entary
	differences between English and the target languages.		Major: NA	Minor: See Below.
			Secon	ndary
			Major: See Below.	Minor: See Below.
			K-	12
			Major: NA	

# Narrative for Standard 1.4:

Despite the fact that we use a communicative approach to learning French, in every course students take, starting in FR 101, they innately compare English and French. In many cases instructors highlight similarities and differences, especially as students learn to shake free from English constructs. In FR 400, during the comprehensive review of the French grammatical system, the contrast between languages in terms of syntax and grammar are particularly highlighted.

In the proposed LG 340 course major similarities and differences between English, French, German, and Spanish will be systematically presented.

For the language portfolio which candidates turn in during the LG 350 course, candidates are specifically required to highlight similarities and differences between English and French. The linguistics professor and the French professors assess this portfolio, and hence, this standard in a comprehensive way.

2.0	Cultures, Literatures, Cross-Disciplinary	y Concepts		
	Teacher preparation institutions provide opportunities to develop and demonstrat			
2.1	Knowledge, understanding, and use of the major	A		Elementary
	concepts, principles, theories, and research related to the nature and role of culture in language development and academic achievement that support student learning.		Major: NA	Minor: See Below.
				Secondary
			Major: See Below.	Minor: See Below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	
Narra	tive for Standard 2.1:			
	<u>-</u>			sionally receives overt attention. In FR 310

In all French courses the relationship between culture and language is apparent and occasionally receives overt attention. In FR 310 (Introduction to French Culture) the relationship is more overtly identified and lightly assessed. In the literature courses and in FR 400 candidates learn to identify different levels of French and how they are influenced by culture.

In the methods course (LG 350) candidates demonstrate their knowledge of cultural practices, products, and perspectives by preparing and presenting a micro-lesson on culture in the target language. During LG 350 candidates also present a culture portfolio which includes information and interpretation on this subject.

2.2	Application of knowledge and understanding	A		Elementary
	of how cultural groups and students' cultural identities affect language learning and school achievement.		Major: NA	Minor: See Below.
				Secondary
			Major: See Below.	Minor: See Below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	

# **Narrative for Standard 2.2:**

The issue of how cultural background affects learning and academic achievement in general is addressed extensively in Education courses, which identify diverse backgrounds and strategies for addressing learner needs in those backgrounds.

With regard to language learning, candidates apply their general knowledge primarily during student teaching, when they confront learners of various cultural groups and have to adjust their teaching to those groups. NMU is NOT in a culturally diverse region of the United States, but it strives to place students in culturally diverse school districts. Some students, therefore, get extensive practice in this area, while others receive the minimum "awareness."

2.3	An understanding of the value and role	В	Eleme	entary
	of literary and cultural texts and use them to interpret and reflect upon the perspectives of cultures over time.		Major: <b>NA</b>	Minor: See Below.
			Secon	ndary
			Major: See Below.	Minor: See Below.
			K	-12
			Major: NA	

#### Narrative for Standard 2.3:

This standard is at the very heart of FR 300, FR 310, 361, 362, 363, 426, 428 and 495. In each of these courses candidates read and interpret numerous literary and cultural texts. These range from newspaper and magazine articles to plays to poetry to short and long fiction.

In FR 300 (reading) candidates acquire skills in reading and literary interpretation such as identifying themes, recognizing figurative language, inferring meaning, recognizing linguistic register, and identifying and understanding the pros and cons of different literary genres.

In FR 310 (Culture of France) candidates receive an overview of the sweep of French culture with its various time periods and literary movements, and how French perspectives have changed over time. Actual reading of texts is limited, but the importance of those texts in culture perspectives is a strong component of the course. (Francophone culture is given a cursory view in this course, and we are exploring ways to increase candidate exposure in this area.)

Major: NA

In FR 361, 362, 363, 426, 428, and 495, candidates identify major authors, works, and literary trends associated with the periods studied. They also reinforce their understanding of the historical and cultural contexts in which the works were produced.

В

This aspect of culture is a crucial element of the Culture portfolio presented in LG 350.

An understanding of the connections among perspectives of cultures, their practices and products, and be able to make comparisons.

Major: NA

Minor: See below.

Secondary

Major: See below.

Minor: See below.

K-12

#### Narrative for Standard 2.4:

FR 300, FR 310, 361, 362, 363, 426, 428 and 495 all address this standard. In each of these courses candidates discover connections between perspectives, practices and products of the target culture.. Perspectives and products are particularly studied in the literature courses, although cultural practices surface in literary readings as well. In FR 305 and some FR 495 courses French cinema serves as a springboard to identification of connections between perspectives, practices and products. In FR 310 the range of cultural products and perspectives is great and is central to the course, with strong emphasis on the plastic arts, music, and food.

In the methods course candidates include this in their micro-lesson on culture and as part of their culture portfolio.

2.5	Ability to recognize distinctive cultural	A	Eleme	entary
	viewpoints of multiple content areas available only through the target language.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
	and the state of t		Secon	ndary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
			K	-12
			Major: NA	

#### Narrative for Standard 2.5:

This is not a focal point of any particular course, although some of the readings in FR 300, some of the conversation topics in FR 305, and some of the discussion items at the French Conversation Table reflect distinctive cultural viewpoints in a variety of content areas. Candidates who study abroad deepen their expertise in numerous content areas not otherwise available to non-French speakers.

3.0	Language Acquisition Theories and Inst Practices Teacher preparation institutions provid opportunities to develop and demonstra	e candidates		
3.1	An understanding of language acquisition theories and research.	В	Major: NA Secon	Minor: See below.

			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	
Narr	ative for Standard 3.1:			
and t	he role of negotiating meaning, among other ies inform candidate performance. Candid	er things.	Ouring the remainder of the couson introduced to ways of keepin	n, the importance of meaningful interaction, urse and in all micro-teaching situations, these ag current with ongoing language acquisitioning of core theories are assessed early in the
	ee and then checked and reinforced during			
cours	se and then checked and reinforced during			Elementary
	Ability to use language acquisition knowledge to create a supportive classroom learning environment that includes target language input and opportunities for negotiation of meaning	the remain		
cours	Ability to use language acquisition knowledge to create a supportive classroom learning environment that includes target language input and	the remain	der of the course.	Elementary
cours	Ability to use language acquisition knowledge to create a supportive classroom learning environment that includes target language input and opportunities for negotiation of meaning	the remain	der of the course.	Elementary  Minor: See below.
cours	Ability to use language acquisition knowledge to create a supportive classroom learning environment that includes target language input and opportunities for negotiation of meaning	the remain	Major: NA	Elementary  Minor: See below.  Secondary

#### **Narrative for Standard 3.2:**

This standard matches the main objective of the language methods class (LG 350). Candidates take the theory they learn and apply it in actual language teaching which includes target language input, opportunities for negotiation of meaning and meaningful interaction within a supportive classroom learning environment. They do this in their micro-lessons and in the class they teach in the public schools.

During their student teaching, candidates get a much more extensive opportunity to meet this objective.

3.3	A variety of instructional practices that	В	Elementary		
	produce language outcomes through an articulated program that addresses the needs of diverse language learners.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.	
			Secondary		
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.	
			K-	12	
			Major: NA		

#### Narrative for Standard 3.3:

The Education program at NMU addresses the needs of diverse learners, and then candidates apply the principles they learned in those classes to learning languages. In the methods class (LG 350), a variety of approaches and methods are presented and modeled, some of which turn into micro-lessons so that candidates have a chance to try some of them. Since Elementary and Secondary candidates are in the same methods class, issues of age-appropriate activities are discussed and modeled. As candidates study the issue of choosing an appropriate textbook for their future courses they are exposed to a variety of methods and activities. LG 350 students also attend a State Language Teachers association conference (usually the Wisconsin Association of Foreign Language Teachers conference because of its proximity to NMU, although some choose to go to the Michigan World Languages Association conference), at which they are exposed to other practices not addressed in LG 350. During their micro-teaching and their student teaching candidates demonstrate their abilities in this area.

3.4	Knowledge and use of a variety of	В	Elementary	
	strategies for instructional management that facilitates language acquisition and student achievement for second language learners.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
			S	econdary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	

# Narrative for Standard 3.4:

In the methods course (LG 350), one of the units focuses on lesson planning. Candidates learn how to plan activities to maximize student achievement in terms of activities building on each other, pacing, and variety to hold student interest. Candidates apply this information minimally in micro-teaching opportunities during the class. They also prepare detailed lesson plans for a theoretical class as one of the assignments, which ultimately becomes part of their language teaching portfolio. Ultimately, candidates apply this knowledge during their student teaching assignment, where they are expected to apply a variety of strategies for student achievement in second language learning.

4.0	Integration of Standards Into Curriculu Instruction	ım and		
	Teacher preparation institutions provide candidates opportunities to develop and demonstrate:			
4.1	An understanding of the standards and B			Elementary
	benchmarks of the Michigan Curriculum Framework and Standards for Foreign Language Learning in curricular planning.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
				Secondary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	
Narrative for Standard 4.1:				
Candi for the		of the various absolute perf	standards, memorize them, ar ection is the only acceptable o	nd then have to produce them from scratch outcome. Any candidate not scoring 100%
4.2	Ability to integrate the Standards for	В		Elementary
	Foreign Language Learning and the Michigan Curriculum Framework into language instruction.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
				Secondary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	

# **Narrative for Standard 4.2:**

In the methods course (LG 350), all micro-teaching, practica experiences, and lesson planning requires that candidates identify the state and national standards they target as a result of their teaching. The same rule applies during student teaching, when candidates identify the standards they target. As they do long-term planning, candidates must be sure to target all standards in their teaching plans.

4.3	Ability to use standards and benchmarks	A	Elementary	
	to evaluate, select, design, and adapt		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
	instructional resources by connecting curriculum to students' experiences and			
	skills of home and community.			
			Secon	ndary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
			K-	12
			Major: NA	

#### **Narrative for Standard 4.3:**

In LG 350, candidates select and connect every resource (such as textbooks, activities, and internet sites) they use based on its relationship to state and national standards. The goal is to encourage candidates to plan and create activities for their students using real-world language and culture. Candidates design activities which will engage their students in meaningful tasks related to their own interests, homes, educational experiences, and communities. This process continues in a more intensive way during student teaching.

4.4	Ability to serve as an effective model of	С	Elementary	
	English and the target language for developing listening, speaking, reading,		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
	and writing skills.			
			Secon	ndary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
			K-	12
			Major: NA	

# Narrative for Standard 4.4:

To be able to model French, before they student teach, candidates must be at the Advanced-Low ACTFL proficiency level in listening, speaking, reading and writing. For procedures on this, see the narrative for standard 1.1 above.

To be able to model English, candidates take a boatload of Education courses in English and must pass the basic skills test for the state of Michigan.

4.5 Understanding and utilization of	A	Elementary		
	strategies that promote student competence in using critical thinking skills in conjunction with learning the target language.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
				Secondary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	
Narrati	ive for Standard 4.5:			
target la write re Seconda thus exp	eports and papers. In ED 230 (Teaching ary Classroom) candidates receive overt posed to these issues and incorporate the wareness" designation of this standard, t	al practices, in and Learning instruction on their L	participate in classroom debate ng in the Elementary Classroon on how to promote higher level G 350 micro-lessons and into the	es and discussion, make presentations, and n) and Ed 231 (Teaching and Learning in the thinking in their students. Candidates are heir student teaching. In harmony with the
	Familiarity with and adaptive use of a	В		Elementary
wide range of standaresources, and techn	wide range of standards-based materials, resources, and technologies in effective world language and content teaching.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
				Secondary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	

#### **Narrative for Standard 4.6:**

In LG 350, candidates are exposed to a wide range of materials, resources and technologies which they can adapt to the state and national standards. As was mentioned above, LG 350 incorporates a textbook unit, and one of the criteria candidates are to use as they analyze textbooks is how well texts align with state and national standards. The textbook used in class makes reference to numerous other resources. The class studies and shares on-line and in-print resources related to language teaching. As was mentioned above, candidates attend a state language association conference, where they are exposed to a myriad of materials in the exhibit area and in sessions. For their micro-teaching and in student teaching, candidates cull from this variety of resources, identifying the links to the state and national standards. Indeed, candidates choose materials based on those standards, or they adapt them to meet the standards. The language teaching portfolio affords a comprehensive view of how candidates have done with this standard.

4.7	Skills in supporting world language	В	Elementary	
	students as they access the core curriculum and learn language and academic content together.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
				Secondary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	

# **Narrative for Standard 4.7:**

In LG 350, candidates are exposed to the concept of connections through national standards 3.1 and 3.2. Opportunities to apply this concept are admittedly few, although candidates in the content courses see how geography, philosophy, and history are intertwined with French language and culture.

4.8	Knowledge of past and current second	В	Eleme	entary
	language teaching methodologies and their applicability in developing		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
	instructional practices and resources to meet the needs of individual learners.			

Ī	•	ī		
				Secondary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	
Narra	tive for Standard 4.8:		1	
each n	nethod. Classroom discussion follows, en arner needs. Candidate knowledge on th	phasizing hov	w different approaches may sti	e teaching, and examines pros and cons of Il have some value depending on the learner its way into the language teaching
5.0	Assessment of Languages and Cultures Teacher preparation institutions provid			
	opportunities to develop and demonstra	ite:		
5.1	Knowledge of the ongoing nature of	В		Elementary
	ssessment and multiple age- and level- oppropriate ways to assess that provides urposeful outcome data.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
			Secondary	
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	

#### **Narrative for Standard 5.1:**

In all of their French courses candidates observe (and are subject to!) various assessment methods. As they participate in their practica they see more assessment methods. In LG 350 one of the units deals specifically with assessment, and deals with reasons for assessment, types of assessments, and ways to assess each of the state and national standards, with an emphasis on evaluation of interpersonal, interpretive, and presentational communication. No micro-lesson candidates give in LG 350 is complete without an assessment. Likewise, the culmination of the LG 350 practicum is to teach a class in the public schools, which needs an assessment piece. During their student teaching, candidates assess their students on a regular basis, often using rubrics of their own creation.. Assessment techniques which candidates use must be appropriate to the age level they will be teaching.

5.2	Reflective assessment practices	A	Eleme	entary
	including: analysis of assessment results, adjustment of instruction based on assessment results, and use of outcome data to determine the direction of instruction.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
			Secon	ndary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
			K-	-12
			Major: NA	

# **Narrative for Standard 5.2:**

This standard is very difficult to implement during NMU coursework. At best, in LG 350, we can plant the idea that assessment results need to be used to determine future direction of instruction. During student teaching, candidates are expected to gather assessment results and adapt instruction accordingly, under the supervision of a master teacher. (A = Awareness...)

5.3	Ability to interpret and communicate the	В	Elementary	
	results of student performances to all stakeholders and provide opportunity for discussion of the interpretations and decisions based on the information.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
			Secon	ndary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
			K-	12
			Major: NA	
Narra	tive for Standard 5.3:			

This is another standard difficult to implement during NMU coursework. In LG 350 we mention this concept, but we implement it during student teaching. Candidates need to interpret and communicate student performance first of all with the master teacher. Many candidates post assessment scores on the internet or share results with parents. Almost all candidates also participate in parent-teacher conferences.

5.4	Knowledge of various assessment issues	A		Elementary
	(e.g., cultural and linguistic bias, political, social, and psychological factors).		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
			Secondary	
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	

# **Narrative for Standard 5.4:**

During the assessment unit in LG 350 various assessment issues are indeed addressed, but not extensively. (A = Awareness.) Candidates may be exposed to further assessment issues at the State Language Associate conference they attend, in their on-line and inprint resource research, and from their master teacher/mentor during student teaching.

5.5			Eler	nentary
	data for identification, placement, and demonstration of language proficiency and academic achievement of world language student		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
			Sec	ondary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
			J	K-12
			Major: NA	
Narra	tive for Standard 5.5:		,	
acader pointe	350 candidates are exposed to the ACTF mic opportunities are discussed. We find rs on implementation of this standard.			
6.0	Professionalism			
	Teacher preparation institutions provid opportunities to develop and demonstra			
6.1	Linguistic and cultural competence	В	Eler	nentary
	through reflective practices.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
			Sec	ondary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
			1	K-12
			Major: NA	

#### **Narrative for Standard 6.1:**

In most of the content courses, and extensively in FR 400, candidates enhance their linguistic competence in writing by having students approach composition as a multi-step process requiring multiple drafts of their compositions based on feedback from peers, the instructor, and through self-reflection. In culture and literature classes, candidates gain cultural competence through assignments requiring them to engage in reflective practice, particularly comparing French accomplishments and thought to American situations, past and present.

6.2	Knowledge of the value of multilingualism to	В	Eleme	entary
	the overall success of all students and understands the teacher's role as an advocate for students, colleagues, and members of the community in promoting multilingualism.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
			Secondary	
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
			K-	12
			Major: NA	

#### Narrative for Standard 6.2:

In LG 350, one of the first assignments is to have candidates do research and report on the value and benefits of multilingualism in general and the value of knowing French specifically. Their findings always include the practical side (possibility of employment opportunities or academic opportunities), social advantages (ability to enjoy the French and Francophone world), and humanitarian considerations (openness to other cultures, ability to help others). In the course we discuss opportunities for language teachers to become advocates of multilingualism, as well as ways to increase the profile of multilingualism and raise awareness as to its importance within the educational system and in our communities as a whole.

6.3	Ability to identify, analyze, and reflect	С	Elementary	
	upon professional knowledge and language proficiency, and seek resources for improvement.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.

Secondary  Major: See below.  Minor: See below.	Secondary		
	Major: See below.	Minor: See below.	
		K-12	
	Major: NA		

#### Narrative for Standard 6.3:

Before taking LG 350 all candidates must "qualify" for the course by proving proficiency at the Intermediate-High level in all four skills. They must prove proficiency at the Advanced-Low level before student teaching. Candidates not at the appropriate levels must identify, analyze, and reflect upon the reasons and formulate then pursue a plan to remediate deficiencies. Candidates also compile a language portfolio, which causes them to reflect on their language proficiency.

In LG 350, candidates identify resources for improvement in their profession, be they contacts, networks, associations, on-line resources, journals, books, and conferences. Attendance at a state association conference as part of LG 350 deepens this process, as candidates interact with other professionals, attend sessions, and review materials in the exhibitor areas.

In LG 350 candidates reflect on their micro-teaching sessions, identifying how well they met their objectives and what they could have done to improve their performance. During student teaching candidates constantly reflect on their performance and on ways to improve. Conferences with master teachers and the University Supervisors assure that candidates reflect and become aware of resources for improvement.

6.4	Knowledge of legislative impact on the	A	E	ementary
	teaching in programs for world languages, limited-English proficient students, and bilingual education.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
			Secondary	
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	

Narra	tive for Standard 6.4:			
	350 note is made of recent and pending leginot a major focus in LG 350 unless major le			mal class discussion follows. (A = Awareness
6.5	Knowledge of the teacher's role as a	A		Elementary
	professional within a discipline.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
				Secondary
			Major: See below.  K-12	
				K-12
			Major: NA	
Narra	tive for Standard 6.5:			
profes LG 35	ling a state association conference has paid sional within their discipline. As we continu toward the end of class we identify other pages of such affiliations.	ue to attend	these conferences it is gratifying	g to see many of our alumni in attendance. In s can join and discuss the professional
6.6	Knowledge of the history and current	A		Elementary
	state of teaching world languages, limited-English proficient students, and bilingual students as it affects public policy and advocacy issues.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
				Secondary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	
I	l l		1	

Narrative for Standard 6.6:					
	In LG 350 our textbook has an overview of the history of language teaching in the United States, and in class discussion we discuss its current state.				
6.7	An ability to serve as a professional	A		Elementary	
resource, an advocate for students, and an ability to build partnerships with students' families and communities.	resource, an advocate for students, and an ability to build partnerships with students'		Major: NA	Minor: See below.	
				Secondary	
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.	
				K-12	
			Major: NA		
Narrat	tive for Standard 6.7:				
standa makin import opport (for id	tunities, music teachers to highlight music at	essional org with variou n the school school even	ganizations and encourage the lis groups can be highly bene l (e.g., the family skills teache ts from the target culture, et	neir involvement. In LG 350 we discuss how	
7.0	Institutional Resources, Coordination, and Commitment				
	Teacher preparation institutions provide ca program structures that demonstrate a capa coordination of resources, and the commitm toward a quality program.	acity for			

7.1	The program provides an administrative	*	Elementary	
	and governance structure that encourages collaboration across content and teacher preparation programs.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
			Secon	ndary
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
			K-	12
			Major: NA	

#### **Narrative for Standard 7.1:**

At Northern Michigan University, the Department of Modern Languages and Literatures is housed in the College of Arts and Sciences, while the Education program within the Department is also responsible to the Associate Dean for Teacher Education in the College of Professional Studies, which oversees the Teacher Education unit. In addition, two committees within the Teacher Education unit cross content-area lines and encourage collaboration in teacher preparation programs. The first is the Professional Education Council, which is the officially designated unit for all professional education programs at NMU and is, therefore, responsible for designing, approving, and continuously evaluating all professional education programs. Its membership includes the following voting members:

Associate Dean for Teacher Education and Director of School of Education

**Community Representative** 

**Director of Field Experiences for the School of Education** 

**Graduate Student Representative** 

School of Education Graduate Review Committee (GRC) Representative

School of Education Undergraduate Review Committee (URC) Representative

**Secondary Education Committee (SEC) Representative** 

**Teacher Education Advisory Council (TEAC) Representative** 

Teacher Selection and Retention Committee (TSR) Representative

**Undergraduate Student Representative** 

Upper Peninsula Center for Educational Development (UPCED) Representative

The Council also includes the following ex-officio, non-voting members:

Dean, College of Arts and Sciences

Dean, College of Professional Studies

Dean, College of Graduate Studies and Research

**Dean, Academic Information Services** 

**Director, Teacher Education Student Services** 

Director, Glenn T. Seaborg Center for Teaching and Learning of Science and Mathematics

Director, Upper Peninsula Center for Educational Development

**Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs** 

Registrar

The Secondary Education Committee includes all faculty at NMU who teach methods classes for candidates in all secondary education areas. Dr. Compton serves on this committee. This committee addresses curricular and policy issues related to secondary education.

7.2	The program provides adequate resources,	*	Elementary	
	both human and material, to insure the world language teacher preparation program is equivalent in stature to other teacher preparation programs.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
	proputation programs.			Secondary
			Major: See below	Minor: See below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	
Narra	tive for Standard 7.2:	•		
				of the teaching load in that .75 semester credits
of rele teache comfo	rtable for Dr. Compton to teach LG 350 an	lty of the Dep	partment of Modern Languages	s and Literatures have made things much more egular load.
of rele teache	r supervision. Recent additions to the facult rtable for Dr. Compton to teach LG 350 and The program provides a methods course with specific age-appropriate strategies of	alty of the Dep ad supervise st	partment of Modern Languages	s and Literatures have made things much more
of rele teache comfo	The program provides a methods course with specific age-appropriate strategies of instruction for world language learners and collaboratively supports structured	alty of the Dep ad supervise st	partment of Modern Languages tudent teachers as part of his re	s and Literatures have made things much more egular load.  Elementary
of rele teache comfo	r supervision. Recent additions to the facultable for Dr. Compton to teach LG 350 and The program provides a methods course with specific age-appropriate strategies of instruction for world language learners	alty of the Dep ad supervise st	partment of Modern Languages tudent teachers as part of his re	s and Literatures have made things much more egular load.  Elementary
of rele teache comfo	The program provides a methods course with specific age-appropriate strategies of instruction for world language learners and collaboratively supports structured	alty of the Dep ad supervise st	partment of Modern Languages tudent teachers as part of his re	s and Literatures have made things much more egular load.  Elementary  Minor: See below.
of rele teache comfo	The program provides a methods course with specific age-appropriate strategies of instruction for world language learners and collaboratively supports structured	alty of the Dep ad supervise st	partment of Modern Languages tudent teachers as part of his remarks Major: NA	Elementary  Minor: See below.  Secondary

Narra	tive	for	Stand	lard	7.3:

The methods course (LG 350) is for all levels of world language instruction. The vast majority of LG 350 students will certify at the secondary level, so most of the instruction is geared to that level. Elementary candidates receive less overt instruction toward elementary teaching, but the textbook does have a section specific to the level at which these candidates will teach. All candidates present the LG 350 micro-lessons at a level appropriate to their certification. They are encouraged to attend sessions at the state association conference appropriate to their certification level.

Practica at the K-8 level is provided for elementary minors and at the 7-12 level for secondary majors and minors.

7.4	The program provides an assessment	*		Elementary	
	system that regularly evaluates the effectiveness of the program and its faculty and staff.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.	
				Secondary	
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.	
				K-12	
			Major: NA		

# Narrative for Standard 7.4:

In accordance with NMU policy, students evaluate LG 350 and its instructor at the end of the course. Results of the survey are made available to the faculty of the Department of Modern Languages and Literatures. Results of the survey, as well as informal feedback received during the course, help the instructor to make adjustments to the course. MTTC testing, also routinely administered, also informs the program. Master teacher feedback on student teacher performance and knowledge provides another comprehensive evaluation of the program.

7.5	The program provides candidates with *		F	Elementary
	access to the appropriate testing opportunities, options, and procedures to demonstrate language proficiency.		Major: NA	Minor: See below.
			Secondary	
			Major: See below.	Minor: See below.
				K-12
			Major: NA	

# Narrative for Standard 7.5:

All teaching candidates must show Advanced Low proficiency in all four areas of the language proficiency exam. In accordance with NCATE standards, candidates must show oral proficiency through an official ACTFL OPI. Proficiency in listening, writing, and reading are administered at the departmental level in accordance with ACTFL definitions and standards.

	Guideline/Standard	*Level of Proficiency	Narrative Explaining how Required Courses and/or Experiences Fulfill the Standards
A K-12 endorsement program must provide candidates with the following experiences:			

Guideline/Standard	*Level of Proficiency	Narrative Explaining how Required Courses and/or Experiences Fulfill the Standards
The K-12 program provides structured field experiences (inclusive of student teaching) in three areas: elementary, middle school, and high school.	*	NA NA
The K-12 program provides course work in growth and development for early childhood and adolescent learners.	*	NA NA
The K-12 program provides preparation in instructional methods with specific strategies of instruction for limited-English proficient students and collaboratively supports structured field experiences appropriate to all levels of certification.	*	NA

French (FA) World Language SBE Stds 7.13.04.doc