Planning for Distinction Informational Sessions

Wednesday, February 1, 2017 Afternoon Session 28 participated out of 60 attendees

What guiding principles should shape The University's SRA Process?	
NMU core values	12
Need to consider effects on external stakeholders	3
Goal is to improve the university	10
Put students first	6
Affordability/Economics	1
Has to be fair	3
Let the facts speak for itself with data collection. Need to keep in mind both levels of mission university and CL	2
Education is about more than just academics	4
Support the local community and businesses – workforce and citizen development	4
Everything is equal	4
Don't be afraid to think BIG	4
Consider what skills and values we want our graduates to have	6
NMU mission statement	7
Why NMU is here-education of students	2
The idea of students outcomes – why do students attend college	1
Be transparent and honest with all constituents	11
Challenge the clichés that the university seems to accept	2
Integrity	2
System of continual improvement	0

What benefits will The University realize from successfully carrying out the	
SRA process?	
Clear plan on how to move forward in the next four years (in the	13
VUCA world? Is it 4 years too long?)	
Increased enrollments and retention	8
Use data to decide decisions	10
Transparency and trust	16
Better focus on where to put resources	6
Understanding what programs exist at NMU	3
Resource allocation spread evenly to benefit all faculty and staff	2
Sustained existence	2
Identify mission centered programs	1
Feeling commitment of senior leaders	6
Streamline and consolidate programs and services	5
Positive feeling going forward	1

Perhaps gaining an understanding that what we think and know is	2
different from what the data tells us.	
How integrated man programs are with one another/ that awarding	5
dollars by major may not be in the university's best interest.	
Realize the data you don't have	1
Understanding of the different programs	4
Clearer idea of what our core values really are	1

What pitfalls would arise from carrying out the SRA process?	
Type 1 or 2 error – Drop programs you really shouldn't or keep	7
programs you really shouldn't	
Tack of appropriate follow through	17
Data not balanced fairly for all	13
Low Morale – animosity/hard feelings toward task force members	11
Bad assumptions	3
Bias – value driven decision making	2
Cynicism	2
Departments not submitting information in timely manner	9
Departments not submitting information that is not flattering to	0
department	
Quality of teaching, research, other work declines as people work on	4
this process	
Adverse effects on region as result of some programs closing	2
Service courses may not be adequately evaluated in terms of benefit to	10
other programs although essential to university	
Task force burn out	3
Contact violations	3
Benefit of programs/strategies not well captured in data yet	3
Programs dropped – personal lost	4
Just forming a new layer of committee's over movement	3
University not carrying out results of process	7
Rumors	3
Time expected	6

What advice can you offer to the task forces that will carry out the SRA process?	
Be Respectful	9
Forget your own silo	9
Dig into the data so that you actually understand it	6
Have an open-mind and try to learn and appreciate other areas	8

Listen to comments of others	9
Keep in mind that universities produce students who not only know	6
(academics) but also do)employees and entrepreneurs)	
Don't be afraid to have differing opinions/view points	9
Take a collaborative approach (versus competitive or even	2
compromising)	
Understand that some programs cost more to implement by their very	7
nature – be willing to adjust criteria to categorize these programs	
What might be missing in the data?	1
Let the data speak for itself and the department who/that submitted it as	1
not all departments will be represented on task force	
Be fair in looking at data	5
Be willing to ask	4
Hard questions	7
Check your premises	3
In the end – decide (take action)	5
Stay focused on criteria – spirit letter of criteria	3
Add temp IR support for service departments not trained in program	0
evaluation or data analyses	
View "education" as mind, body and spirit	0