Evaluation of Formal Paper for EN 282 (11/2011)

Student Name		Semester/YrC	Curriculum T	rack		
Evaluation Criteri	a					
Analyzes and sy into mea Develops all ide Uses technical la		Analyzes and synthesizes thoroughly and perceinto meaning and implications arising for Develops all ideas thoroughly and explicitly;	anguage and writing conventions of grammar, usage, and research style			
4 = Good:		Applies literary theory correctly and relevantly; Analyzes and synthesizes perceptively; Develops most ideas thoroughly and explicitly; Uses technical language and writing conventions of grammar, usage, and research style with very good control.				
3 = Acceptable:		Applies literary theory correctly; Attempts to analyze and synthesize a few ideas with some success; Develops one or two ideas; Uses technical language and writing conventions of grammar, usage, and research style with satisfactory control.				
2 = Poor Quality:		Applies literary theory minimally and/or incorrectly; Attempts to analyze and synthesize minimally; Develops one or two ideas minimally; Uses technical language and writing conventions of grammar, usage, and research style with weak control.				
1 = Unacceptable:		Does not apply literary theory; Does not analyze and synthesize; Does not develop ideas; Exhibits no control of technical language and writing conventions of grammar, usage, and research style.				
	Cr	iteria		Score		
	Ap	oplies literary theory				
	Ar	alyzes and synthesizes				
<u> </u>		evelops ideas				
		Exhibits original thought and/or expression				
	Us	Uses technical language, grammar, usage, and research style				

Faculty Signature	Date
I doubty Digitaluic	Dute